

PENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 28 FEB 2005

PCT

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 348-B0496 International application No. PCT/EP 03/12584			FOR FURTHER ACTIO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
			International filing date (day)	month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 13.11.2002					
			or both national classification and l	PC						
	ational Pate (31/198	nt Classification (IPO)	J BOUT HARONE CHECK THE							
opplic BRA	ant CCO SP	Α								
1.	This inter Authority	national preliminary and is transmitted to	examination report has been p the applicant according to Arti	repared by this licle 36.	nternational Preliminary Examining					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.									
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).									
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.									
3.	. =		ons relating to the following iten	ns:						
3.	ı 🛭	Basis of the opin	ion							
3.	🛭	Basis of the opin	ion		tep and industrial applicability					
3.	l ⊠ II □	Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishme	ent of opinion with regard to no	velty, inventive s						
3.	🛭	Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of i	ion ent of opinion with regard to not note of opinion with regard to note of the note of t	velty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
3.	⊠ □ □	Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of i Reasoned state citations and ex	ion ent of opinion with regard to not nvention ment under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with planations supporting such stat nts cited	velty, inventive s						
3.		Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of i Reasoned state citations and ex Certain docume Certain defects	ion ent of opinion with regard to not not not open to not not not open to not not open to	velty, inventive so n regard to novel ement						
3.		Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of i Reasoned state citations and ex Certain docume Certain defects	ion ent of opinion with regard to not nvention ment under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with planations supporting such stat	velty, inventive so n regard to novel ement						
		Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of i Reasoned state citations and ex Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa	ion ent of opinion with regard to not not not open to not not not open to not not open to	velty, inventive son regard to novel ement cation	ty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
		Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of i Reasoned state citations and ex Certain docume Certain defects	ion ent of opinion with regard to not not not open to not not not open to not not open to	velty, inventive so n regard to novel ement	ty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
Da		Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of i Reasoned state citations and ex Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa	ion ent of opinion with regard to not not not open to not not not open to not not open to	velty, inventive son regard to novel ement cation	ty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
Da 111		Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of i Reasoned state citations and ex Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa	ent of opinion with regard to not nivention ment under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with planations supporting such state in the international application tions on the international application emational	velty, inventive son regard to novel ement cation	ty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/12584

1.	Basis	of the	report
1.	Dagio	O	

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Desc	ription, Pages					
	1-12		as originally filed				
	Clair	ns, Numbers					
	1-16		as originally filed				
2.	With lang	regard to the languag	ge, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the mational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	Thes	ese elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		he language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
	П	the language of public	ation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tran Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	islation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under).				
3.	With	fith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the ternational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.				
		filed together with the	international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosing the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.					
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
Ę	i. □	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		(Any replacement si report.)	heet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
•	6. Ac	Iditional observations,	if necessary:				



International application No.

PCT/EP 03/12584

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes

Yes: Claims 8,13,14

No: Claims 1-7, 9-12, 15,16

Inventive step (IS)

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-16

Yes: Claims 1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- Reference is made to the following document: 1.)
 - D1: SANTINI FERRUCCIO ET AL: 'Thyromimetic effects of 3,5,3'triiodothyronine sulfate in hypothyroid rats' ENDOCRINOLOGY, vol. 133, no. 1, 1993, pages 105-110, XP002272821 ISSN: 0013-7227 cited in the application
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the 2.) subject-matter of claims 1-7, 9-12, 15,16 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses that treatment with T3 sulfate leads to a significant correction of several parameters of hypothyroidism. Since the thyromimetic effects of T3S would be self-limiting it is suggested as thyromimetic agent with reduced risk of inducing hyperthyroidism.

A composition administered to sick animals is regarded as a medicament. Therefore the subject matter of claims 1-7, 9-12, 15,16 is not new.

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the 3.) subject-matter of claims 8,13,14 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The subject matter of claims 8,13,14 differs in the addition of thyroxine. The problem to be solved by this difference may be regarded as to provide a further medicament for the treatment of hypothyroidism. The addition of compound to be known for the same treatment is a matter of routine for the skilled person.

It has to be noted that no unexpected effect has been shown for the addition of thyroxin. Additionally no data are provided for the alleged oral activity of the defined formulations.